UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,805	02/24/2004	Yoshinobu Imoto	040894-7000	1179
, - <del>-</del>	7590 02/17/200 VIS & BOCKIUS LLP		EXAMINER	
	LVANIA AVENUE N		ADEGEYE, OLUWASEUN	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,805	IMOTO, YOSHINOBU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	OLUWASEUN A. ADEGEYE	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02/24</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the pr	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers	wn from consideration. r election requirement.		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07/19/2004</u> is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/784,805 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Um et al (US 2003/0118327 A1) in view of Ito et al (US 6,937,356 B1) and St. Pierre (US 6,853,841 B1).

As to **claim 10**, Um discloses an optical disk reproducing apparatus comprising: a reading unit (fig. 2, 38) that reads image data recorded on an optical disk (see [38], [39] and fig. 2);

a first decoding unit (fig. 2, 34, 35) that decodes moving image data (see [38]); a second decoding unit (fig. 2, 32, 33) that decodes still image file including still image data (see [39]);

a switching unit (fig. 2, 19, 37) that receives the image data from the reading unit, outputs the image data to the first decoding unit when the image data is the moving data, and outputs the image data to the second decoding unit when the image data is the still image data (see [38], [39] and fig. 2);

a video signal output unit (fig. 2, 30, 31) that is connected to the first decoding unit and the second decoding unit and outputs a reproduction video signal of the image

data decoded by the first decoding unit or by the second decoding unit (see [38] and [39]);

Um also discloses a controller (19) but does not disclose

a header analysis unit that is disposed between the switching unit and the second decoding unit and analyzes a header of the still image file;

a control unit that determines whether or not an extension of the still image file is a predetermined extension; wherein when the control unit determines that extension of the still image file is the predetermined extension, the header analysis unit analyzes the header of the still image file and determines whether or not the still image file is a still image file that is decodable; and

reading of the still image file by the reading unit is stopped when the header analysis unit determines that the still image file is a still image file that is not decodable.

Ito discloses a header analysis unit (fig. 15, 621) that analyzes a header of the still image file (see column 16, lines 15 - 18, column 17, lines 3 - 7 and column 17, lines 46 - 51).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added the header analysis unit taught by Ito to the apparatus of Um so that pictures can be taken or processed even during a print, display, transmission or viewing of the pictures (see column 5, lines 43 - 50).

St. Pierre discloses a control unit that determines whether or not an extension of the still image file is a predetermined extension; wherein when the control unit determines that extension of the still image file is the predetermined extension, the header analysis unit analyzes the header of the still image file that is compressed in a decodable format within the predetermined extension (see column 6, line 64 – column 7, line 13)

St. Pierre discloses a header analysis unit that determines whether or not the still image file is a still image file that is decodable (see column 6, line 64 – column 7, line 13).

St. Pierre discloses reading of the still image file by the reading unit is stopped when the header analysis unit determines that the still image file is a still image file that is not decodable (see column 6, line 64 – column 7, line 13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added the determining step of St. Pierre to the apparatus of Um in view of Ito to provide a protocol facilitating the control of multiple network attached devices by a remote control device (see column 1, lines 8 – 10).

As to **claim 2**, Um discloses an optical disk reproducing apparatus comprising: a body (see [22]);

reading means (fig. 2, 38) for reading image data recorded on an optical disk set in the body (see [38] and [39]);

decoding means(fig. 2, 32, 33, 34, 35) for decoding the image data read by the reading means (see [38] and [39]);

video signal output means (fig. 2, 30, 31) for outputting a reproduction video signal of the image data decoded by the decoding means (see [38] and [39]);

Um does not disclose determination means in which when an extension of a still image file instructed to be reproduced is a predetermined extension, a header of the still image file is analyzed and it is determined whether or not the still image file is a still image file that is decodable in the body; and

reading stop means for stopping reading of the still image file by the reading means when the determination means determines that the still image file is a still image file that is not decodable in the body.

Ito also discloses determination means that determines whether or not an extension of the still image file is a predetermined extension (see column 17, lines 19 – 25); wherein when the control unit determines that extension of the still image file is the predetermined extension, the header analysis unit analyzes the header of the still image file that is compressed in a decodable format within the predetermined extension (see column 17, lines 33 – 51).

Kubota discloses a header analysis unit that determines whether or not the still image file is a still image file that is decodable (column 2, lines 36 – 37 discloses a header with ID. Column 21, line 52 – column 22, line 3 discloses determining whether a still image file is decodable or not).

Kubota also discloses reading stop means for stopping reading of the still image file by the reading means when the determination means determines that the still image file is a still image file that is not decodable in the body (see column 21, line 52 – column 22, line 3).

As to **claim 1**, this claim differs from claim 2 only in that the limitation "wherein the video signal output means outputs a predetermined video signal when the determination means determines that the still image file is the still image file that is not decodable in the body" is additionally recited.

Kubota discloses wherein the video signal output means outputs a predetermined video signal when the determination means determines that the still image file is the still image file that is not decodable in the body (see column 21, lines 37-46).

As to **claim 6**, grounds for rejecting claim 2 apply to claim 6 in its entirety.

As to **claim 3**, Um in view of Ito and Kubota disclose the optical disk reproducing apparatus as claimed in claim 2.

Kubota discloses the video signal output means outputs a predetermined video signal when the determination means determines that the still image file is the still image file that is not decodable in the body(see column 21, lines 37 – 46).

As to **claim 4**, Ito discloses the optical disk reproducing apparatus as claimed in claim 2, wherein the predetermined extension is JPG (see column 17, lines 3-7 and column 21, lines 38-42).

As to **claim 5**, Um discloses the optical disk reproducing apparatus as claimed in claim 2, wherein the decoding means has a function of decoding moving image data compressed in MPEG2 (see [47]).

As to **claim 7**, grounds for rejecting claim 3 apply to claim 7 in its entirety.

As to **claim 8**, grounds for rejecting claim 4 apply to claim 8 in its entirety.

As to **claim 9**, grounds for rejecting claim 5 apply to claim 9 in its entirety.

As to **claim 11**, grounds for rejecting claim 3 apply to claim 11 in its entirety.

As to **claim 12**, grounds for rejecting claim 4 apply to claim 12 in its entirety.

As to **claim 13**, grounds for rejecting claim 5 apply to claim 13 in its entirety.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OLUWASEUN A. ADEGEYE whose telephone number is (571)270-1711. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,805 Page 8

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

02/11/2009 /Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621 /O.A/